



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/942,983	08/30/2001	Yuri Galperin	EXP.046A	7664
20995	7590	02/19/2008	EXAMINER	
KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP			CHENCINSKI, SIEGFRIED E	
2040 MAIN STREET				
FOURTEENTH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
IRVINE, CA 92614			3691	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/19/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com
eOAPilot@kmob.com

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/942,983	GALPERIN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	SIEGFRIED E. CHENCINSKI	3691	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 September 2007.

2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 157 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 157 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ .	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ .

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. **Claim 157 is rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting** as being unpatentable over claim 7 of U.S. Patent No. 6,185,543 B1. The preambles are similar in meaning, With the instant preamble merely being a rewording of the preamble of claim 7 in the patent. Both preambles concern themselves with the determination of a loan prepayment propensity of a loan applicant in order to help make a decision regarding an application for a loan. The patent's claim is aimed at deciding what kind of loan terms to extend to the applicant (i.e. how to customize a loan) whereas the instant claim's stated goal is whether to extend a loan to the applicant. The two claims are implicitly related, since the same analysis will serve each, and the same process results in both end results. Further, claim 7 of the patent suggests the "whether to extend decision" to the ordinary practitioner of the art since loan applications to a lender implicitly suggest that a decision has to be made whether or not to extend a loan to the applicant under any conditions. Once an affirmative decision is made, The secondary decision of the kinds of terms and conditions, which packaging is a part of can be made. Thus, although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

2. **Claim 157 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e)** as being anticipated by Galperin et al. (US Patent 6,185,543 B1, hereafter Galperin)

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Re. Claim 157, Galperin anticipates a method of using a loan prepayment score calculation to determine whether to extend a home equity line of credit to a loan applicant, the method comprising:

- collecting information about a home equity line of credit for which a loan applicant is applying; collecting information about the loan applicant; transmitting the home equity line of credit information and the loan applicant information; (Fig, 1, 10, 12 – “Obtaining Loan Application”, Electronically Parse Information”; Fig. 2, 20, 22, 24, 28, 30, 34, 36, 52, 54, 56, 58; home equity line of credit);
- receiving a calculated loan prepayment score that is a function of time and prepayment propensity, (wherein the loan prepayment score indicates a predicted propensity of the loan applicant to prepay the home equity line of credit – this is nonfunctional descriptive language which has no patentable weight since it merely describes the obvious about this step which deals with prepayment propensity already i.e. it fails to change the limitation) (Abstract, I. 4-11; Fig. 2, 26, 32, 38, 60, 46, 48, 50; 62-66,);
- determining, based at least in part on the loan prepayment score, whether to extend a home equity line of credit to the loan applicant (Abstract, II. 9-10, 9; Fig's 2, 3; Col. 3, II. 24-27. The sentence “Using this consumer scoring technique, a lending institution can seek to contact or contract with those consumers who display a low propensity to prepay” means that the lender is able to determine, “based at least in part on the loan prepayment score, whether to extend a home equity line of credit to the loan applicant”).

Conclusion

3. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Siegfried Chencinski whose telephone number is (571)272-6792. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday, 9am to 6pm.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Alexander Kalinowski, can be reached on (571) 272-6771.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington D.C. 20231

or Faxed to (571)273-8300 [Official communications; including After Final communications labeled "Box AF"]

Art Unit: 3691

or Faxed to (571) 273-6792 [Informal/Draft communications, labeled
"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT"]

Hand delivered responses should be brought to the address found on the above
USPTO web site in Alexandria, VA.

SEC

February 4, 2008

/Narayanswamy Subramanian/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3691

Application Number 	Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination
	09/942,983	GALPERIN ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit	
SIEGFRIED E. CHENCINSKI	3691	